Bike Share Status Update



- 2015 Bike Share Feasibility Study
- August 11 Community Bike Share Forum
 - Affirmed community support
- Coordination with agency legal teams
- Nov 2-3 Bike Share Industry Forum
- RTC Board directed staff to gather input from jurisdictions & report back in January

Bike Share Industry Forum Review



- Forum purpose was to identify the preferred operating system for the region
 - Discussed operating programs & services
 - Equipment demonstration
- 6 bike share vendors participated
- Interagency panel of reviewers
 - Reno, Sparks, Washoe County, Renown, Health District, Reno Bike Project, UNR, RTC

Participating Bike Share Vendors









B Cycle

LimeBike

SPINN







Noa Technologies

ofo

PBSC Urban Solutions

Bike Share Options





Station Based

Bikes park at kiosks

Requires public funding

RTC administers grant & operates

Smart Bike

Bikes park at designated bike racks

Requires public funding

RTC administers grant & operates



Dockless

No designated bike parking

Privately Cities/ **funded** issue

County permits & regulate

Bike Share Option Comparisons



Smart Bike	Longer start	Smaller	Bikes parked	Regulated
or Station-	up time	service	& locked in	through
Based	(requires	area with	designated	terms of
	grant	550 bikes	areas	contract by
	application &			RTC
	procurement)			

Dockless	Shorter start up time (Cities/ County issue permits)	Larger service area with 550 to 5,000 bikes	Potential for obstructions in right-of-way & bike vandalism	Regulated through permit enforcement by Cities/County

Industry Forum Survey Results



- 6 reviewers identified station-based or smart bike as the top business models/technologies
 - Noted higher quality bikes & electric assist bikes
 - Concerns about dockless bike clutter, ROW obstructions, and a potential backlash against cycling
- 3 reviewers identified dockless providers as the top business model/technology
 - Concerns about station-based/smart-bike limited service area, start-up time & ROW acquisition
 - Noted no up-front capital costs

RTC Direction



- Prepare a Transportation Alternatives grant for bike share capital costs (private sponsorship funds used to operate)
 - Scalable application to include Smart Bike program;
 Electric-assist bike program; bike helmet program;
 bike parking areas; outreach & education
- Seek input from jurisdictions about dockless program

Dockless Pilot Implementation



- Interest expressed by jurisdictions in a temporary dockless pilot project
- To pursue dockless bike share, Cities & County would issue permits & regulate
- Regional consensus/strategy needed
 - Define risks/rewards
 - Coordinate permit requirements & enforcement among jurisdictions
 - Build support with local cyclists & advocacy groups

Dockless Pilot Recommendations



- Limited term
 - March–October to maximize ridership
- Phased approach
 - Limited number of bikes & service area to expand over time
- One vendor for all jurisdictions
- Build in permit conditions/protections for the community
 - Consistency across jurisdictions
 - Seamless customer experience, protect public ROW, consistency in bike ordinances
- Continuing community education & engagement

Requested Input Today



- How do you define bike share success/failure?
- Potential motion:
 - Accept report about bike share
 - Provide direction to City staff about dockless pilot program
 - Support regional Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside grant for bike share